# Family Medicine Grand Rounds:

# Prostate Cancer Update for 2018



Wednesday, December 6, 2017.

Dr. John Jordan Dr. Stephen Pautler





## Faculty/Presenter Disclosure

• Presenters: Dr. John Jordan

**Dr. Stephen Pautler** 

- Relationships with commercial interests:
  - Grants/Research Support: N/A
  - Speakers Bureau/Honoraria: N/A
  - Consulting Fees: N/A
  - Other: N/A



## **Disclosure of Commercial Support**

- This program has received no commercial financial support.
- This program has received no in-kind support.



## Mitigating Potential Bias

• Not applicable.

# Learning Objectives:

#### To understand ....

- the evolution of Prostate Cancer Screening Guidelines (how to proceed when there is apparent incongruity).
- how to translate Weak Recommendations and Strong Recommendations for your patients
- what happens to your patient when referred to pDAP (prostate diagnostic assessment program)
- the referral process for pDAP > what we have learned during the first year of operation

## **Prostate Cancer**

 Lifetime risk of being diagnosed with prostate cancer is 14.3% but risk of dying of prostate cancer is only 3.6%

- Presentation
  - Early stages usually asymptomatic
    - Most cases detected by serum PSA or abnormal DRE



# **Prostate Cancer Screening** PSA Challenges .....

No clear cut-point between normal and abnormal PSA . Even PSA cut-off of 2.0ng/ml miss some prostate cancers. (The Cancer Prevention Trial - 2003)

Positive predictive value for PSA > 4ng/ml = 30% (about 1 in 3 men with elevated PSA have prostate cancer detected at time of biopsy) PPV increases to 45-60% for PSA > 10ng/ml

Nearly 75% of cancers detected in the grey zone (PSA 4-10) are organ confined; potentially curable. <50% of prostate cancers organ confined if PSA >10

### **Prostate Cancer Screening** the evidence .....

- PLCO (Prostate, Lung, Colorectal, Ovarian) Cancer Screening Trial N Engl J Med 2009;360(13):1310-19
  - US trial 76,693 men randomly assigned to annual screen with PSA & DRE or to "usual care"; median follow-up of 11yrs
  - Modifference in prostate-specific mortality between the 2 groups
- Screening and Prostate Cancer Mortality in a European RCT (ERSPC) N Engl J Med 2009;360(13):1320-28
  - 162,243 men from 7 countries randomized to screening with PSA (q 4yrs) or no screening; median follow-up of 9yrs
  - <u>20% reduction</u> in prostate cancer mortality in the screening arm (p=0.04)
- Goteborg Prostate-Cancer Screening Trial Lancet Oncol 2010;11:725-732
  - 20,000 men age 50-69; PSA screen(q 2yrs) or no screen, (1995>2008)
  - <u>44% reduction</u> in prostate cancer specific mortality (p=0.002)

# Grades of evidence

- Grade A: effectiveness established to a degree that <u>merits</u> application
- Grade B: effectiveness established to a degree that <u>suggests</u> application
- Grade C: effectiveness established to a degree that <u>warrants consideration</u> of applying the findings
- Grade D: effectiveness established to a <u>limited</u> <u>degree</u>
- Grade E: effectiveness not established



#### Strength of Recommendation:





# The recommendation would **apply to most individuals**.

**Different choices may be appropriate for individual patients.** Clinicians should support each patient in reaching a management decision consistent with their values and preferences Decision aids may support individuals in reaching such decisions.

### Prostate Cancer Screening: <u>An Evolving Concept</u>

- Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health: Prostate Cancer Screening Recommendations 2014. CMAJ 186(16),1-10, 2014.
- U.S. Preventive Services Task Force: Prostate Cancer Screening Recommendation; March 2012. USPSTF 2017 Draft Statement. JAMA 2017; 317(19):1949-1950.
   \*Men 55-69yrs: Change No screen (Grade D) To screen (Grade C) 2017.
- American Urological Association: Prostate Screening Guideline May 2013 > \*Reviewed and Validity Confirmed 2015.
- Canadian Urological Association recommendations on prostate cancer screening and early diagnosis. Can Urol Assoc J 2017;11(10):298-309.
   \*Men who choose screening > start at age 50, screening interval based on level of PSA

### Screening for Prostate Cancer: CTF Recommendations

- For men aged less than 55 years, we recommend not screening for prostate cancer with the prostate-specific antigen test. (Strong; low quality evidence)
- For men **aged 55–69 years**, we recommend **not screening** for prostate cancer with the prostate-specific antigen test. (Weak; moderate quality evidence)
- For men **70 years of age and older**, we recommend **not screening** for prostate cancer with the prostate-specific antigen test. (*Strong; low quality evidence*)



Practising Wisely: Reducing Unnecessary Testing and Treatment



Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health

#### Benefits and Harms of PSA Screening

#### **\$**

#### The Canadian Task Force on Preventive Health Care recommends against screening for prostate

#### cancer with the PSA test

- The CTRPHC found that the potential strat benefit from PSA screening is outweighed by the potential significant horms of the screening and associated follow up treatment.
- Men should understand that PSA screening may result in additional testing if the PSA level is raised.
- Ic save one life we would need to diagnose an additional 27 men with prostate cancer.

#### RESULTS OF SCREENING 1,000 MEN WITH THE PSA TEST (ago 55-69 years, screened over a 13-year ported, and with a PSA screening threshold of 3.0 rg/mil)

#### 

#### 

5 mon will blo from prostate cancer despite undergo ing FSA sector, ng

1man will escape death from prostate concer because he underword PSA screening

#### What are my risks if I don't get screened?

- Among mon who <u>are screeped</u> with the PSA test, the risk of dying from prostate cancer is 5 in 1,000
- Among men who <u>size not</u> screamed with the PBA test, the risk of dying from prostate cancer is 6 in 1.000

#### 720 mon will have a negative PSA test.

- 178 men with a positive PSA in whom to low up testing does not identify prostate concer
- 4 of those 178 will experience biopsy complications such as infection and cleeding severe enough to require hospitalization.

-102 men will be diagnosed with prostate cancer

 33 of these 1C2 pressure cancers would not have caused linese or doubt

> econuse of uncortainty about whether their cancer will progress, most mon will choose theothern and may experience complications of treatment

#### Complications of treatment for prostate cancer

For every 1,000 mon who receive treatment for prostate cancer:

- 114-214 will have short form complications such as infec-
- tions, additional surgeries, and blood transfusions
   127-442 will experience languagement operitie dysfunction
- 127, 442 will experience lengterm proof to dyslic
   up to 178 will experience uringly incentingnee
- up to 178 will experience urinary incentinence
- 4-5 will die from complications of prostate cancer treatment.

Statistics for benefits and homes were colourated from the European Randomaed Study of Screening for Prestate Concer-



#### Benefits and Harms of PSA Screening: (men age 55-69 yrs, screened for 13 yrs, PSA threshold of 3.0 ng/ml)



![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Benefits and Harms of Screening Mammography: Women Aged 50-69 (average risk; screened every 2yrs for 11yrs)

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

720 women screened:

204 have false +ve result requiring further imaging

26 have biopsy

- 4 have part or all of a breast unnecessarily removed
- 1 escapes death from breast cancer

http://canadiantaskforce.ca/

![](_page_14_Picture_9.jpeg)

#### **Prostate Cancer Screening** Current Recommendations:

- Discuss issues regarding prostate cancer screening with men age > 50yrs. Patients need to be informed about risks and benefits of screening in order to make informed decision
- If patient decides to be screened .....
  - Offer PSA and DRE for men age 50/55-69yrs every 2yrs
    - No routine screening for men age 40-50/54yrs
    - No screening for men age > 70yrs
    - No screening if life expectancy < 10yrs</li>

Men at increased risk, recommend start screening ~ age 45

### London Prostate Cancer Diagnostic Assessment Program

Stephen E. Pautler, MD FRCSC SWRCP Surgical Oncology Lead Medical Director, pDAP SJHC

Family Medicine Grand Rounds December 6, 2017

![](_page_16_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_4.jpeg)

## CCO Diagnostic Assessment Programs

ССО

### What is the problem?

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Prostate Cancer Diagnosis Pathway

Suspicion

Version 2015.11 Page 3 of 5

The pathway is intended to be used for informational purposes only. The pathway is not intended to constitute for medical advice and should not be relied upon in any such regard. Further, all pathways are subject to clinical judgment and actual practice patterns may not follow the proposed steps set out in the pathway. In the situation where the reader is not a healthcare provider, the reader should always consult a healthcare provider if he/she has any questions regarding the information set out in the pathway. The information in the pathway does not create a physician-patient relationship between Cancer Care Ontario (CCO) and the reader.

![](_page_19_Figure_4.jpeg)

## **CCO Strategic Priorities**

• Align and define the scope of DAPs

Goal is to improve the diagnostic phase for all individuals undergoing a potential cancer diagnosis

diagnosis then treatment

• Drive continuous quality improvement in diagnostic phase

![](_page_20_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### DAPs

- Developed by Cancer Care Ontario (CCO) to improve the diagnostic phase of cancer care
- Serve as a single point of access to diagnostic services allowing coordination of testing
- Guided by best practice and evidence-based literature
- Provide information and support for patients and their families throughout their cancer journey
- Assist primary care physicians in seeking timely referral access to cancer care specialists

![](_page_21_Picture_6.jpeg)

### **DAPs in Ontario**

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Surgical Wait 1

#### **Surgical Wait Time**

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

Surgical Wait 1 Time from Referral to First Surgical Consultation Wait 2 Time from Decision to Treat to Procedure Date

![](_page_23_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Access To Care/CCO Wait 1

#### Access to Care

#### Oncology Procedures Adult – Wait 1 Priority Assessment Tool

Priority	Descriptions	Wait 1 Access Target
1	<ul> <li>High suspicion of cancer or biopsy positive for cancer where patient has severe life or limb threatening symptoms and signs and where imminent morbidity or mortality without immediate intervention is high</li> </ul>	Within 24 Hours
2	<ul> <li>High suspicion of cancer or biopsy positive for cancer where patient has high likelihood of having a highly aggressive malignancy</li> </ul>	Within 10 Days
3	<ul> <li>All patients with high suspicion of cancer that does not meet the criteria of Priority 2 or Priority 4</li> </ul>	
4	<ul> <li>All patients with an intermediate level for suspicion of cancer or patients with biopsy positive cancer but with a high likelihood of an indolent malignancy</li> </ul>	Within 35 Days

#### London pDAP

Prostate DAP Single Site for Assessment and Diagnosis

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

## London pDAP Data

- 291 patients seen thus far
- 134 TRUS+bx
- 88 diagnosed with prostate cancer
- 18 referred for surgery
- 41 referred for radiation
- 4 with metastatic disease
- Active surveillance/WW 21

![](_page_27_Picture_8.jpeg)

### **Wait Times**

Access: Prostate Diagnostic Assessment Program Wait Time for First Consult as of November 30, 2017

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_3.jpeg)

### **Wait Times**

#### Access: Prostate Diagnostic Assessment Program

Biopsy Wait Time, Request Received to Procedure Complete Date, as of Nc

![](_page_29_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **Declined Referrals**

#### • 46 Declined referrals

•	Out of Area:	11
•	Patient Declined Investigation:	1
•	Health Status changed/GP cancelled:	4
•	Patient non-compliant	1
•	PSA Within Normal Limits with normal DRE	15
•	Patient was seen by urologist outside of program	9
•	Patient was already diagnosed with cancer	4
•	Other	1

![](_page_30_Picture_3.jpeg)

### **Patient Experience**

- All patients given opportunity to survey about experience
- Positive feedback for organization of appointments
- Navigation found to be a strong asset
- Wait times were rated as excellent
- No voiced concerns on shared care model

![](_page_31_Picture_6.jpeg)

	Q2 During the diagnostic process, the health care team (e.g. Patient Navigator, Doctor, Receptionist etc)		
	2a Gave me instructions on how to get ready for my next appointment	Strongly Agree	90%
	2b Told me why I needed the tests in a way that I could understand	Strongly Agree	90%
	2c Answered my questions or connected me to someone who could	Strongly Agree	10%
	O3 During the diagnostic process:		
	3a The doctor told me about my test results in a way that I could understand	Strongly Agree	80%
	Sa The doctor told the about thy test results in a way that i could understand	Strongly Agree	0070
	Q4 During the diagnostic process, I was comfortable talking about my worries and/or concerns with the health care team (e.g. Patient Navigator, Doctor, Receptionist etc.):	Strongly Agree	100%
010 15 101	had a good experience while being eared for by the Datiant Nevigator and/or the bealth eare team during	the diagnostic process of	anna tall un about it
	"Excellent team, felt very well eared for"	the diagnostic process, pi	ease tell us about it
	"Mas heleful is setting and interest for discussion to the and following"		
	"was neiptul in getting appointment for diagnostic tests and follow up"		
	"The nurse was very helpful and spoke clearly and slowly which was good for me as English is my secon very helpful and friendly".	id language. The person v	who greeting us was
	"Everyone concerned with the process was extremely helpful and understanding, and did their best to ma for me".	ake me feel comfortable d	luring a worrying tim
	"Helped relieve my nervousness about the process.		
	"Everything ran smooth and was on time. Everyone was friendly and helpful. Perfect"		
	"Friendly, there was an error regarding my first and last name, and the team was very apologetic about the	nat"	
	"I was quite surprised the short time it took from being referred by my family doctor, seeing the Urologist	and having the diagnostic	completed. The Dr
	"Excellent team, felt very well cared for"		
	"Was helpful in getting appointment for diagnostic tests and follow up"		
	"The nurse was very helpful and spoke clearly and slowly which was good for me as English is my second lan very helpful and friendly".	guage. The person who greet	ting us was
	"Everyone concerned with the process was extremely helpful and understanding, and did their best to make m for me".	ne feel comfortable during a w	orrying time
	"Helped relieve my nervousness about the process.		
	"Everything ran smooth and was on time. Everyone was friendly and helpful. Perfect"		
	"Friendly, there was an error regarding my first and last name, and the team was very apologetic about that"		
	"I was quite surprised the short time it took from being referred by my family doctor, seeing the Urologist and h	naving the diagnostic complete	ed. The Dr

## London pDAP Challenges

- One hurdle is "completeness of referral"
- Shared care model
  - Active surveillance patients
  - Metastatic patients logistics
  - No CaP diagnoses that require urologic care
- Re-referrals of patients seen previously
- Inpatient consults
- Clinical Trials research

![](_page_33_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **Optimal DAP**

- Standardized patient care throughout the referral and diagnosis phases
- Reduced wait times for initial referral and treatment
- No disease progression where possible
- Improved patients' experiences through this initial complex and important phase of their cancer journey

![](_page_35_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Over-Screening: Breast Cancer Women Aged 40-49 (average risk; screened every 2 yrs for 11 yrs)

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

2,100 women screened:

- 700 have false +ve result requiring further imaging
  - 75 have biopsy
  - 10 have part or all of a breast unnecessarily removed
- 1 escapes death from breast cancer

http://canadiantaskforce.ca/

![](_page_36_Picture_9.jpeg)